

# KONGSBERG

# Tensile test report of polylactic acid (PLA)





Faculty of Technology, Natural Sciences and Maritime Sciences Campus Kongsberg Date: April 02, 2024 Testers::

Adrian Elias Haugjord Henrik Bertelsen

# Contents

Lis	st of F	'igures 4	ł
Lis	st of T	ables 5	5
Gle	ossary	6	5
1	Stan	dards 9	)
2	Test	equipment 10	)
	2.1	Ender-3 v2	)
	2.2	LLOYD LR 10K	)
	2.3	Computer with test-software	)
3	Tens	ile test T1 12	2
	3.1	Preparations	2
	3.2	Test	3
	3.3	Results	5
	3.4	Deviations	7
	3.5	Conclusion	)
4	Tens	ile test T2 20	)
•	<i>A</i> 1	Preparations 20	, )
	4.1	Test 21	,
	т. <u>2</u> Л 3	Results 22	)
	<del>т</del> .5 Л Л	Deviations 22	2
	4.5	Conclusion 24	, 1
5	Refe	rences 25	5
	<b>T</b> 1		~
A		nical drawings 26	)
	A.1	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Tenshe test piece } 150.527 \\ \text{Tenshe test piece } 1$	)
	A.2	Iensile test piece USN Kongsberg	!
B	Resu	lts test T1 28	3
	<b>B</b> .1	Results T1-1	3
	B.2	Results T1-2	3
	B.3	Results T1-3	3
	<b>B.</b> 4	Results T1-4	3
	B.5	Results T1-5	3
	B.6	Results T1-6	3
	B.7	Results T1-7	3
С	Resu	lts test T2 63	3
	C.1	Results T2-1	3
	C.2	Results T2-2	3
	C.3	Results T2-3	3
	C.4	Results T2-4	3
	C.5	Results T2-5	3
	C.6	Results T2-6	3

C.7	Results T2-7	13
C.8	Results T2-8	8

# **List of Figures**

3.1	Test specimen	12
3.2	Screenshot of the software interface after a test	14
3.3	The fractured test specimens	15
3.4	An inaccurate test result, due to improper attachment	17
3.5	Evidence of scraping	17
3.6	Corners on the radius	18
4.1	The test specimen put into a holder	21
4.2	Test specimen placed in test machine	21
4.3	The test specimen after the test	22
A.1	Tensile test specimen type A dimensions technical drawing	26
A.2	Tensile test specimen used at USN Kongsberg	27

# **List of Tables**

3.1	Test T1 specimen manufacturing settings for Ender-3 V2	12
3.2	The tensile testing results of PLA. Colour-coded if the test is valid or if it	
	failed	16
4.1	Test T2 specimen manufacturing settings for Ender-3 V2	20
4.2	Table containing results of true stress from all tests as well as median and	
	mean values. Red values deviate from the mean value by more than 20%.	22
4.3	Table containing results of true stress from the valid tests as well as me-	
	dian and mean values	22

# Abbreviations

PLA Polylactic acid. 8, 10

UTS Ultimate tensile strength. 10, 13, 17, 19

## **Description of Terms**

- **3D printer** A machine where a thread of plastic is moved through and heated up by a nozzle that melts and places it layer by layer to create a part. 10
- **ultimate tensile strength** The end of plastic deformation region and the maximum stress a material can take. 8
- yield strength The point where a material goes from elastic to plastic deformation. 8
- **Young's modulus** Also known as E-modulus or E-module, is the measurement of the stiffness of a material when a tensile or compressive force is applied lengthwise. 8

# Introduction

This test is performed as part of the 2024 bachelor project Kromium[1]. This test aims to extract necessary material data for use in custom material in SolidWorks for the project. The material in question is the Polylactic acid (PLA) supplied by the company Clas Ohlson. This material has a good shelf life even if stored exposed to open air, and becomes good quality prints with little effort. The material has no datasheet containing information regarding yield strength, Young's modulus and ultimate tensile strength which is what we need to gather from this test.

## 1 Standards

These are the standards we have followed when performing the tests. In the second test the there is a deviation from the test specimen specified in ISO-527-2-2012

**ISO-5425-2023:** Specifications for use of poly(lactic acid) based filament in additive manufacturing applications[2]

**ISO-527-1-2012:** Determination of tensile properties Part 1:General principles[3]

**ISO-527-2-2012:** Determination of tensile properties Part 2:Test conditions for moulding and extrusion plastics[4]

## 2 Test equipment

The equipment used in this test:

- 3D-printer: Ender-3 v2
- Tensile test machine: LLOYD LR 10K
- Computer with test-software

## 2.1 Ender-3 v2

The Ender-3 v2 is a 3D printer that has an exposed printing surface. This means that the environment of the print is the same as in the room the printer is placed in. This can affect the print, if the printer is placed in a poor environment. Fortunately, normal room temperature (around 20 degrees Celsius) and normal indoor air moisture are good enough for simple prints, with a simple filament type such as PLA.

## 2.2 LLOYD LR 10K

The LLOYD LR 10K is a tensile testing machine with a maximum load capacity of 10.0 kN and a speed range of 0.1 to 500 mm per minute. [5] The machine is fitted with wedge grippers to fasten the test piece, increasing clamping force as more force is applied in the stretching direction. The disadvantage with the wedge grippers is at the start of the tensile test, the test piece can slip if it is not fitted correctly and/or the test piece consists of a material that has a low friction coefficient. To increase the friction between the test piece and the wedge gripp, the surface of the jaw insert of the wedge grips has a serrated surface.

#### 2.3 Computer with test-software

The LLOYD LR 10K is operated using software from an external computer. This program is by the time of this test newly developed by one of the students at the university. Although faults may lie in the program, it has been approved by the professors and the results gathered seem logical.

Before a test can be carried out, some steps must be completed. The first step is to link the test file to a folder on the computer. Then some information needs to be put into the software. These values are the length between the crossheads, the thickness, and the width of the test specimen.

While the test is carried out the software plots the stress-strain curve in real time. This is great for monitoring for faults during the test. After a test has been carried out, some more steps need to be completed. These steps are to double-check that the automatically generated points, such as Ultimate tensile strength (UTS), fracture, and the proportional limit are correct. In addition, you have to choose if some of the test data needs to be adjusted. These adjustments are in cases where the start point is wrong and the machine moves a distance before putting a load on the specimen. In these cases, the zero point can be moved so that the elongation is correct.

After the adjustments are made the calculate button can be pushed and the results will be displayed. If you want to keep the results, press save. Now the data will be transferred

HB | AEH

HB | AEH

#### HB | AEH

to an Excel document, and the raw file itself will also be saved inside the folder to which you assigned the test file.

## **3** Tensile test T1

#### 3.1 Preparations

Following ISO standard 527-1 section 7.1, the mean value should be calculated from at least five test specimens and the results should not differ from the mean value by more than 20%. We produced seven test pieces to have extra in case we encountered problems during the test. The dimensions of the test pieces can be found in appendix A.1. The Test pieces were 3D-printed with the following settings:

Settings	Used
Orientation	Flat
Nozzle	0.4 mm brass
Layer height	0.2 mm
Walls	3
Infill	100 %
Nozzle temperature	220°C
Bed temperature	60°C
Printing speed	60 mm/s

**Table 3.1:** Test T1 specimen manufacturing settings for Ender-3 V2.



Figure 3.1: Test specimen

#### $\mathbf{AEH} \mid HB$

#### **3.2** Test

#### HB | AEH

The tests were performed in a room at 24 degrees Celsius.

We started by turning on the tensile testing machine and the computer connected to the machine. Then we had to find out how to use the program, due to new software on the computer with a completely different interface, than the program that we learned to use this machine on. Luckily the person who made the software had made a couple of video tutorials, but it still took some time to understand everything. So we ended up watching the tutorial and doing the first test together, step by step.

Before we started the tests, we made a new folder on the computer. This was to keep track of our test results, and easier to transfer the data to our storage device, for later review of the test results. Then we assigned the first test file to this folder and filled in the different required data for executing the test. Then we inserted the first test piece into the tensile testing machine and started the test. Because this was the first test piece, we struggled a bit to make the test piece attach itself properly. The machine moved almost 4mm before the clamps started to get a grip on the test piece. Then we selected the data we wanted and saved the results into the folder. The same happened to many of the other pieces as well, the worst one was test piece T1-4 where we failed to get a grip, and the test had to be restarted.

The test consisted of seven seemingly equal test pieces. This is also visible on the stressstrain curve, where the point of UTS and the fracture were very similar in every test. The biggest difference in the tests was the start. On several of the tests, it is visible on the stress-strain curve that the tensile testing machine was struggling to get a proper grip on the test pieces. There were only two exceptions, test pieces T1-2 and T1-7. These test pieces got a grip almost instantly.

We tested them one by one and the test program then logged the results automatically into the program. Then we adjusted some of the automatically generated points and discarded some of the start on the stress-strain curve. The amount discarded was very varying, due to some human errors during the testing. The different errors and other things that may have affected the result of the tests are written about in the Deviations chapter.



Figure 3.2: Screenshot of the software interface after a test

#### 3.3 Results

HB | AEH

In the picture below is the fractured test specimen. Below the test specimen is a table containing the main relevant values from each test. The rest of the test data can be found in appendix B. If you look closely at the fracture points of the test specimen and compare them to the test values below, there is a pattern. Test specimens T1-2 and T1-7 are the only ones that had a straight fracture point, these were the only two test pieces that did not slip during the testing. It is also visible on Young's modulus which specimen slipped, these values are higher than the ones that did not slip. The more the pieces slipped, the higher the Young's modulus became. It is important to note that Young's modulus for the test specimen is only an approximation because polymers do not have one consistent Young's modulus like metals. Instead, the module changes depending on where you look at it. This is because the thermoplastic polymer chains don't behave the same way as atoms in metal. When the thermoplastics are exposed to forces still in the stress-strain curve's elastic region, the polymer chains untangle themselves stretch parallel to the applied force and become anisotropic.



Figure 3.3: The fractured test specimens

Tensile test T1 (true stress) (MPa [N/mm^2])									
Test: T1-1 T1-2 T1-3 T1-4 T1-5 T1-6 T1-7 Median Mean									Mean
Ultimate tensile strength	50,401	53,390	50,214	49,704	49,860	50,373	49,960	50,214	50,557
Fracture:	47,337	50,203	48,363	47,928	46,764	47,170	47,447	47,447	47,887
Young's modulus:	696,489	588,563	684,626	737,726	879,383	923,334	572,496	696,489	726,088

**Table 3.2:** The tensile testing results of PLA. Colour-coded if the test is valid or if it failed.

#### 3.4 Deviations

#### AEH | HB

From the results we found that five out of seven test pieces were invalid, however, some of the results are. The valid results are the UTS and fracture values, while the invalid results are Young's modulus. The reason the UTS and fracture values are valid results is that these values are calculated from the amount of applied stress (Newton) over the cross-section area (millimetres). Young's modulus results are not valid because these are calculated with the use of elongation in the direction of applied force. The invalid test pieces were slipping during the testing, which led to an inaccurate elongation reading, this can be observed on the stress-strain curve shown below.



Figure 3.4: An inaccurate test result, due to improper attachment

As seen on the graph, there is a lot of movement (6mm) before the load increases with a significant value. And the Young's modulus for this test piece is becoming very inaccurate. Evidence of this is the failed first try of test T1-4 as well as the lines on five out of seven test pieces. These lines as shown below are a result of the grip slipping before getting a hold.



Figure 3.5: Evidence of scraping

All the test pieces broke outside the gauge length area. The reason for this is likely

because of the production method, all the pieces break in the same area which is the start of the radius where the width expands. As we can see in the picture the radius is made up of many straight lines that create edges where the tension will accumulate.



Figure 3.6: Corners on the radius

### 3.5 Conclusion

HB | AEH

The reason we choose to believe that the UTS and fracture values are somewhat correct, is because the values from test T1-2 are at the higher end of the results, while the values from test T1-7 are at the lower end of the scale. This indicates that the values for UTS and fracture for the other test pieces are within range of what they would be if they didn't slip during the testing.

Because only two of the tests can be defined as valid tests due to slipping, we will not be able to use the values we got for a material specification in Solid Works. However, the test results that are categorized as valid give us a good indication of an approximate value. But our team want to have as accurate values as possible, so a new test will be carried out later on.

## 4 Tensile test T2

## 4.1 Preparations

Since the deviations from the first test showed an indication of scraping, we chose to go for the university's test specimen design which can be found in appendix A.2. These test specimens are designed so that the ends can be secured properly within a holder. For this test, we produced eight test specimens. The settings for manufacturing the test specimen can be found in the table below.

Settings	Used
Orientation	Flat
Nozzle	0.4 mm brass
Layer height	0.2 mm
Walls	3
Infill	100 %
Nozzle temperature	220°C
Bed temperature	60°C
Printing speed	60 mm/s

**Table 4.1:** Test T2 specimen manufacturing settings for Ender-3 V2.

AEH | HB

#### **4.2** Test

Each specimen test was performed following the same steps as discussed in 3.2 except the way that they were fastened. For this test, the specimens were secured by putting the holders shown below, on both ends and then placing them in the test machine.



Figure 4.1: The test specimen put into a holder



Figure 4.2: Test specimen placed in test machine

#### 4.3 Results

AEH | HB

The tables below contain the main interest data. The rest of the collected data can be found in appendix C.



Figure 4.3: The test specimen after the test

Tensile test T2 (true stress) (MPa [N/mm^2])										
Test:	T2-1	T2-2	T2-3	T2-4	T2-5	T2-6	T2-7	T2-8	Median	Mean
Young's modulus	646,790	594,052	660,158	866,162	668,935	762,796	597,099	632,418	653,474	678,551
Yielding (lower)	35,004	32,884	40,930	35,658	30,983	31,205	35,576	42,666	35,290	35,613
Ultimate tensile strength	51,077	48,858	50,606	45,951	46,864	47,387	46,912	50,307	48,123	48,495
Fracture	47,800	45,736	47,806	43,176	43,711	42,506	45,370	45,646	45,508	45,219

**Table 4.2:** Table containing results of true stress from all tests as well as median and mean values. Red values deviate from the mean value by more than 20%.

Tensile test T2 (true stress) (MPa [N/mm^2])									
Test: T2-1 T2-2 T2-3 T2-5 T2-6 T2-7 T2-8						T2-8	Median	Mean	
Young's modulus	646,790	594,052	660,158	668,935	762,796	597,099	632,418	646,790	651,750
Yielding (lower)	35,004	32,884	40,930	30,983	31,205	35,576	42,666	35,004	35,607
Ultimate tensile strength	51,077	48,858	50,606	46,864	47,387	46,912	50,307	48,858	48,859
Fracture	47,800	45,736	47,806	43,711	42,506	45,370	45,646	45,646	45,511

**Table 4.3:** Table containing results of true stress from the valid tests as well as median and mean values.

#### 4.4 Deviations

#### AEH | HB

Small defects and deviations will occur during manufacturing and because of this, we can see that the test pieces break at different areas of the cross-section and different loads.

The test T2-4 has Young's modulus over 20% higher than the mean of the tests and is therefore excluded from the final calculation.

Other possible deviations may come from variations in the zero point, proportional limit and yield strength points, and wrong readings from the machine sensors.

AEH | HB

#### 4.5 Conclusion

There were performed eight tests this time with a new design that properly secured the ends and prevented slipping. As a result, seven out of the eight tests were usable and gave us a proper estimate of the material strength properties.

## **5** References

- [1] Kromium. Kromium website. [Online]. Available: https://itfag.usn.no/grupper/ D03-24/
- [2] International Organization for Standardization. ISO 5425:2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.iso.org/standard/81238.html
- [3] —. ISO 527-1:2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.iso.org/standard/75824.html
- [4] —. ISO 527-2:2012. [Online]. Available: https://www.iso.org/standard/56046.html
- [5] Lloyd LR10k tensile machine. [Online]. Available: https://www.tts-ltd.co.uk/ lloyd-lr10k-tensile-machine

# A Technical drawings

## A.1 Tensile test piece ISO 527



Figure A.1: Tensile test specimen type A dimensions technical drawing



## A.2 Tensile test piece USN Kongsberg

Figure A.2: Tensile test specimen used at USN Kongsberg

# **B** Results test T1

## B.1 Results T1-1

Mon Feb 26 18:58:48 2024		
Details:	Test piece T1-1	
	· · · ·	
Material Properties:		•
Start Thickness	4,000	mm
Start Width	10,000	mm
Start Length	75,000	mm
Proportional limit:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	40,498	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	5,81%	
Young's modulus, E	696,489	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress, σ_t	42,853	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	5,65%	
Yielding (lower):		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	0,00%	
True Stress, σ_t	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	0,00%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Nominal Stress, σ nom/σ e (S ut)	46,991	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	7,26%	
True Stress, σ_t	50,401	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	7,00%	
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress, $\sigma$ , nom/ $\sigma$ , e (S, y)	43.659	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, E. n	8.43%	
True Stress a t	47.337	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon$ t	8.09%	

MATERIAL TESTING REPORT

28

Load error (graph)

#### LR 10K Tensile Machine Settings

mm/min mm/min

%

Mon Feb 26 18:58:48 2024Details:Crosshead Speed(Jog speed)100,000

-2,000







# B.2 Results T1-2

	MATERIAL TESTING REPORT	
Mon Feb 26 19:15:17 2024		
Details:	Test piece T1-2	
Material Properties:		1
Start Thickness	4,000	mm
Start Width	10,000	mm
Start Length	75,000	mm
Proportional limit:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	41,968	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	7,13%	
Young's modulus, E	588,563	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress, σ_t	44,961	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	6,89%	
Yielding (lower):		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	0,00%	
True Stress, σ_t	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	0,00%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_ut)	49,123	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	8,69%	
True Stress, σ_t	53,390	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	8,33%	
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	45,815	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	9,58%	
True Stress, σ_t	50,203	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	9,15%	

#### LR 10K Tensile Machine Settings

Mon Feb 26 19:15:17 2024
Details: ...

Crosshead Speed	50,000	mm/min
(Jog speed)	100,000	mm/min
Load error (graph)	-2,000	%






# B.3 Results T1-3

	MATERIAL TESTING REPOR	т
Mon Feb 26 19:18:47 2024		
Details:	Tensile test T1-3	
Material Properties:		
Start Thickness	4,000	mm
Start Width	10,000	mm
Start Length	75,000	mm
Proportional limit:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	14,651	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	2,14%	
Young's modulus, E	684,626	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress, σ_t	14,965	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	2,12%	
Yielding (lower):		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	0,00%	
True Stress, σ_t	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	0,00%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_ut)	46,942	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	6,97%	
True Stress, σ_t	50,214	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	6,74%	
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	44,933	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	7,63%	
True Stress, σ_t	48,363	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	7,36%	

.

 Mon Feb 26 19:18:47 2024

 Details:
 ...

 Creeshood Speed
 50 000

Crosshead Speed	50,000	mm/min
(Jog speed)	100,000	mm/min
Load error (graph)	-2,000	%







# **B.4 Results T1-4**

	MATERIAL TESTING REPOR	г
Mon Feb 26 19:24:41 2024		
Details:	Test piece T1-4-2	
Material Properties:		
Start Thickness	4,000	mm
Start Width	10,000	mm
Start Length	75,000	mm
Proportional limit:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	39,788	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	5,39%	
<u>Young's modulus, E</u>	737,726	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress, σ_t	41,934	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	5,25%	
Yielding (lower):		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	0,00%	
True Stress, σ_t	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	0,00%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_ut)	46,477	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	6,95%	
True Stress, σ_t	49,704	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	6,71%	
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	44,492	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	7,72%	
True Stress, σ_t	47,928	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	7,44%	

 Mon Feb 26 19:24:41 2024

 Details:
 ...

 Crosshead Speed
 50,000
 m

Crosshead Speed	50,000	mm/min
(Jog speed)	100,000	mm/min
Load error (graph)	-2,000	%







# B.5 Results T1-5

	MATERIAL TESTING REPOR	г
Mon Feb 26 19:27:00 2024		
Details:	Test piece T1-5	
Material Properties:		
Start Thickness	4,000	mm
Start Width	10,000	mm
Start Length	75,000	mm
Proportional limit:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	40,498	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	4,61%	
<u>Young's modulus, E</u>	879,383	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress, σ_t	42,364	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	4,50%	
Yielding (lower):		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	0,00%	
True Stress, σ_t	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	0,00%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_ut)	46,967	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	6,16%	
True Stress, σ_t	49,860	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	5,98%	
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	43,414	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	7,72%	
True Stress, σ_t	46,764	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	7,43%	

## 48

Load error (graph)

#### LR 10K Tensile Machine Settings

mm/min mm/min

%

 Mon Feb 26 19:27:00 2024

 Details:
 ...

 Crosshead Speed
 50,000

 (Jog speed)
 100,000

-2,000







## B.6 Results T1-6

	MATERIAL TESTING REPOR	т
Mon Feb 26 19:35:30 2024		
Details:	Test piece T1-6	
Material Properties:		
Start Thickness	4,000	mm
Start Width	10,000	mm
Start Length	75,000	mm
Proportional limit:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	41,944	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	4,54%	
<u>Young's modulus, E</u>	923,334	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress, σ_t	43,849	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	4,44%	
Yielding (lower):		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	0,00%	
True Stress, σ_t	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	0,00%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_ut)	47,604	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	5,82%	
True Stress, σ_t	50,373	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	5,66%	
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	43,953	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	7,32%	
True Stress, σ_t	47,170	MPa [N/mm^2]
Tours Charles a A	7 06%	

Load error (graph)

## LR 10K Tensile Machine Settings

mm/min mm/min

%

 Mon Feb 26 19:35:30 2024

 Details:
 ...

 Crosshead Speed
 50,000

 (Jog speed)
 100,000

-2,000







## B.7 Results T1-7

	MATERIAL TESTING REPORT	
Mon Feb 26 19:37:47 2024		
Details:	Test piece T1-7	
Material Properties:		1
Start Thickness	4,000	mm
Start Width	10,000	mm
Start Length	75,000	mm
Proportional limit:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	40,205	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	7,02%	
<u>Young's modulus, E</u>	572,496	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress, σ_t	43,028	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	6,79%	
Yielding (lower):		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	0,00%	
True Stress, σ_t	0,000	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	0,00%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Nominal Stress, g_nom/g_e (S_ut)	46,060	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	8,47%	
True Stress, $\sigma_t$	49,960	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	8,13%	
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	43,365	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	9,41%	
True Stress, σ_t	47,447	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	9,00%	

Mon Feb 26 19:37:47 2024Details:...Crosshead Speed50,000(Jog speed)100,000Load error (graph)-2,000%







# C Results test T2

# C.1 Results T2-1

	MATERIAL TESTING REPORT	
Mon Mar 25 21:25:27 2024		
Details:	Test T2-1	1
Material Properties:		
Start Thickness	3 000	mm
Start Width	11 000	mm
Start Length	100 000	mm
Start Length	100,000	
Proportional limit:		
Nominal Stress, $\sigma$ , nom/ $\sigma$ , e (S, y)	33,290	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, $\varepsilon$ n	5.15%	
Young's modulus, E	646,790	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress, σ_t	35,004	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ɛ_t	5,02%	
Yielding (lower):		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	33,290	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	5,15%	
True Stress, σ_t	35,004	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	5,02%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Nominal Stress, σ nom/σ e (S ut)	46,832	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	9,06%	
True Stress, σ_t	51,077	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	8,68%	
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	43,298	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, $\varepsilon_n$	10,40%	
True Stress, σ_t	47,800	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	9,89%	

63

Mon Mar 25 21:25:27 2024
Details: ...

Crosshead Speed	50,000	mm/min
(Jog speed)	100,000	mm/min
Load error (graph)	-2,000	%







## C.2 Results T2-2

#### Tue Mar 26 13:47:28 2024 Test T2-2 Details: **Material Properties:** Start Thickness 3,000 mm Start Width 11,000 mm Start Length 100,000 mm **Proportional limit:** Nominal Stress, $\sigma_nom/\sigma_e$ (S\_y) 31,241 MPa [N/mm^2] Nominal Strain, ε\_n 5,26% 594,052 MPa [N/mm^2] Young's modulus, E True Stress, $\sigma_t$ 32,884 MPa [N/mm^2] 5,13% True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$ Yielding (lower): Nominal Stress, σ\_nom/σ\_e (S\_y) 31,241 MPa [N/mm^2] Nominal Strain, $\epsilon_n$ 5,26% True Stress, σ\_t 32,884 MPa [N/mm^2] True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$ 5,13% Ultimate Tensile Strength: Nominal Stress, σ\_nom/σ\_e (S\_ut) 44,872 MPa [N/mm^2] Nominal Strain, $\epsilon_n$ 8,88% True Stress, $\sigma_t$ 48,858 MPa [N/mm^2] True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$ 8,51% Fracture: 41,308 MPa [N/mm^2] Nominal Stress, σ\_nom/σ\_e (S\_y) Nominal Strain, ε\_n 10,72% MPa [N/mm^2] True Stress, $\sigma_t$ 45,736 True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$ 10,18%

MATERIAL TESTING REPORT

 Tue Mar 26 13:47:28 2024

 Details:
 ...

 Crosshead Speed
 50.000
 mm/min

crossnead Speed	50,000	mm/min
(Jog speed)	100,000	mm/min
Load error (graph)	-2,000	%






# C.3 Results T2-3

	MATERIAL TESTING REPORT	
Tue Mar 26 14:03:13 2024		
Details:	Test T2-3	
Material Properties:		-
Start Thickness	3,000	mm
Start Width	11,000	mm
Start Length	100,000	mm
Proportional limit:		
	20.000	
Nominal Stress, $\sigma_nom/\sigma_e(S_y)$	38,665	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ɛ_n	5,86%	MD- [N// 42]
Young's modulus, E	660,158	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress, o_t	40,930	MPa [N/mm^2]
True strum, e_t	5,69%	
Vielding (lower):		
neiding (lower).		
Nominal Stress, $\sigma$ nom/ $\sigma$ e (S v)	38.665	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain. $\epsilon$ n	5.86%	
True Stress, $\sigma$ t	40,930	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ɛ_t	5,69%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_ut)	47,010	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	7,65%	
True Stress, $\sigma_t$	50,606	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	7,37%	
P		
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress a nom/a e (S y)	43 833	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain & n	9.06%	
True Stress a t	47.806	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain. $\varepsilon$ t	8.68%	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

 Tue Mar 26 14:03:13 2024

 Details:
 ...

Crosshead Speed	50,000	mm/min
(Jog speed)	100,000	mm/min
Load error (graph)	-2,000	%







# C.4 Results T2-4

	MATERIAL TESTING REPORT	
Tue Mar 26 14:17:10 2024		
Details:	Test T2-4	1
Material Properties:		
Start Thickness	3,000	mm
Start Width	11,000	mm
Start Length	100,000	mm
Drevention of limits		
Proportional limit:		
Nominal Stress $\sigma$ nom/ $\sigma$ e (S v)	34 300	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain & n	3 96%	
Young's modulus. F	866.162	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress. $\sigma$ t	35.658	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon$ t	3,88%	
, _		
Yielding (lower):		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	34,300	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	3,96%	
True Stress, σ_t	35,658	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	3,88%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Neminal Stress - nem (= c (S ++)	42 170	
Nominal Stress, o_nom/o_e (S_ut)	43,179	
	0,42 <i>%</i>	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain & t	43,331 6 72%	
nue strum, e_t	0,2270	
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	39,853	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, $\varepsilon_n$	8,34%	
True Stress, σ_t	43,176	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	8,01%	

78

 Tue Mar 26 14:17:10 2024

 Details:
 ...

Crosshead Speed	50,000	mm/min
(Jog speed)	100,000	mm/min
Load error (graph)	-2,000	%







# C.5 Results T2-5

	MATERIAL TESTING REPORT	
Tue Mar 26 14:32:53 2024		_
Details:	T2-5	1
Material Properties:		1
Start Thickness	3.000	mm
Start Width	11.000	mm
Start Length	100,000	mm
Proportional limit:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	29,667	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	4,43%	
Young's modulus, E	668,935	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress, σ_t	30,983	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	4,34%	
Yielding (lower):		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	29,667	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	4,43%	
True Stress, σ_t	30,983	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	4,34%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_ut)	43,655	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	7,35%	
True Stress, σ_t	46,864	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	7,09%	
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	40,388	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	8,23%	
True Stress, σ_t	43,711	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	7,91%	

 Tue Mar 26 14:32:53 2024

 Details:
 ...

Crosshead Speed	50,000	mm/min
(Jog speed)	100,000	mm/min
Load error (graph)	-2,000	%







# C.6 Results T2-6

	MATERIAL TESTING REPORT	
Tue Mar 26 14:44:46 2024		
Details:	Test T2-6	
Material Properties:		
Start Thickness	3,000	mm
Start Width	11,000	mm
Start Length	100,000	mm
Proportional limit:		
Nominal Stress. $\sigma$ nom/ $\sigma$ e (S v)	30.024	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain. $\epsilon$ n	3.94%	
Young's modulus. E	762.796	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress, σ t	31,205	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	3,86%	
Yielding (lower):		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	30,024	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	3,94%	
True Stress, σ_t	31,205	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	3,86%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_ut)	44,159	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	7,31%	
True Stress, σ_t	47,387	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	7,06%	
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	39,259	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	8,27%	
True Stress, σ_t	42,506	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	7,94%	

88

Tue Mar 26 14:44:46 2024Details:...Crosshead Speed50,000(Jog speed)100,000Load error (graph)-2,000%







# C.7 Results T2-7

	MATERIAL TESTING REPORT	
Tue Mar 26 15:06:15 2024		_
Details:	T2-7	
Material Properties:		
Start Thickness	3,000	mm
Start Width	11,000	mm
Start Length	100,000	mm
Proportional limit:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_γ)	33,676	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	5,64%	
Young's modulus, E	597,099	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress, σ_t	35,576	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	5,49%	
Yielding (lower):		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_γ)	33,676	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	5,64%	
True Stress, σ_t	35,576	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	5,49%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_ut)	43,565	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	7,68%	
True Stress, σ_t	46,912	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	7,40%	
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	41,843	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	8,43%	
True Stress, σ_t	45,370	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	8,09%	

Tue Mar 26 15:06:15 2024Details:...Crosshead Speed50,000(Jog speed)100,000Load error (graph)-2,000%







# C.8 Results T2-8

	MATERIAL TESTING REPORT	
Tue Mar 26 15:21:13 2024		_
Details:	Test T2-8	
Material Properties:		1
Start Thickness	3 000	mm
Start Width	11.000	mm
Start Length	100,000	mm
-		
Proportional limit:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	40,121	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	6,34%	
Young's modulus, E	632,418	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Stress, σ_t	42,666	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_t$	6,15%	
Yielding (lower):		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	40,121	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	6,34%	
True Stress, σ_t	42,666	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_{t}$	6,15%	
Ultimate Tensile Strength:		
Nominal Stress a nom/a e (S ut)	46 595	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, $\varepsilon$ n	7.97%	
True Stress, o_t	50,307	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, ε_t	7,67%	
Fracture:		
Nominal Stress, σ_nom/σ_e (S_y)	41,041	MPa [N/mm^2]
Nominal Strain, ε_n	11,22%	
True Stress, σ_t	45,646	MPa [N/mm^2]
True Strain, $\varepsilon_{t}$	10,63%	1

98

 Tue Mar 26 15:21:13 2024

 Details:
 ...

Crosshead Speed	50,000	mm/min
(Jog speed)	100,000	mm/min
Load error (graph)	-2,000	%









KROMIUM



#### **Description** No Data

# Simulation of 520 DC motor fastener

Date: fredag 17. mai 2024 Designer: Adrian Elias Haugjord Study name: Weight Analysis type: Static

## Table of Contents

Description	1
Assumptions	2
Model Information	2
Study Properties	3
Units	3
Material Properties	4
Loads and Fixtures	5
Connector Definitions	6
Interaction Information	6
Mesh information	7
Study Results	8
Conclusion1	1



### Assumptions

When mounted on the car, the force from the weight of the car will work parallel to the ZY-plane in the positive Y direction.

The weight of the car is 5,4 kilograms distributed over the four brackets. 1,35 kilograms of load will be applied on the bracket, for the simulation we rounded this up to 15 Newtons.

## **Model Information**





## Study Properties

**Krom**ium

Study name	Weight
Analysis type	Static
Mesh type	Solid Mesh
Thermal Effect:	On
Thermal option	Include temperature loads
Zero strain temperature	298 Kelvin
Include fluid pressure effects from SOLIDWORKS Flow Simulation	Off
Solver type	Automatic
Inplane Effect:	Off
Soft Spring:	Off
Inertial Relief:	Off
Incompatible bonding options	Automatic
Large displacement	Off
Compute free body forces	On
Friction	Off
Use Adaptive Method:	Off
Result folder	SOLIDWORKS document (C:\Users\haugj\OneDrive - USN\Dokumenter - Bachelor gruppe\04 Mekanikk\Deler\3D-models\Final model\Robot car iteration 3-2024_04_29_1428\Brackets & fasteners)

## Units

Unit system:	SI (MKS)
Length/Displacement	mm
Temperature	Kelvin
Angular velocity	Rad/sec
Pressure/Stress	N/mm^2 (MPa)



## **Material Properties**

**Krom**ium

Model Reference	Prop	Components	
L L	Name: Model type: Default failure criterion: Yield strength: Tensile strength: Elastic modulus: Poisson's ratio: Mass density:	Clas Ohlson PLA Linear Elastic Isotropic Unknown 35,607 N/mm^2 48,859 N/mm^2 651,75 N/mm^2 0,36 1,27 g/cm^3	SolidBody 1(Split Line1)(520 DC motor fastener)
Curve Data:N/A			



## Loads and Fixtures

Fixture name	F	Fixture Image Fixture Details						
Roller/Slider-1	÷			<b>Entities:</b> 2 face <b>Type:</b> Roller	e(s) r/Slider			
Resultant Forces								
Components		Х	Y	Z	Resultant			
Reaction for	Reaction force(N)		-15,2269	0,00790618	31,3667			
Reaction Mome	nt(N.m)	0	0	0	0			
Roller/Slider-2	¥		<b>Entities:</b> 1 face(s) <b>Type:</b> Roller/Slider					
<b>Resultant Forces</b>	-		-					
Componer	nts	Х	Y	Z	Resultant			
Reaction for	ce(N)	23,1315	8,02534e-14	-4,01267e-15	23,1315			
Reaction Mome	nt(N.m)	0	0	0	0			
Fixed-1	÷		Entities: 4 face(s) Type: Fixed Geometry					
Resultant Forces								
Componer	nts	Х	Y	Z	Resultant			
Reaction for	ce(N)	4,69682	0,246572	6,11799e-08	4,70329			
Reaction Mome	nt(N.m)	0	0	0	0			
		·						




Load name	Load Image	Load Det	tails
Gravity-1	*	Reference: Values: Units:	Top Plane 0 0 -9,81 m/s^2
Force-1		Entities: Reference: Type: Values:	6 face(s) Edge< 1 > Apply force ;; 15 N

#### Connector Definitions No Data

Interaction Information No Data



## Mesh information

**Krom**ium

Mesh type	Solid Mesh
Mesher Used:	Blended curvature-based mesh
Jacobian points for High quality mesh	16 Points
Maximum element size	2,52973 mm
Minimum element size	0,563769 mm
Mesh Quality	High

#### **Mesh information - Details**

Total Nodes	17449
Total Elements	9128
Maximum Aspect Ratio	5,871
% of elements with Aspect Ratio < 3	96,6
Percentage of elements with Aspect Ratio > 10	0
Percentage of distorted elements	0
Time to complete mesh(hh;mm;ss):	00:00:07
Computer name:	





## **Study Results**



Name	Туре	Min	Max
Displacement1	URES: Resultant Displacement	0,000mm Node: 18	0,010mm Node: 13826







Name	Туре	Min	Max
Strain1	ESTRN: Equivalent Strain	0,000	0,001
		Element: 8118	Element: 7524







Name	Туре	Min	Max
Factor of Safety1	Max von Mises Stress	79,050 Node: 14905	152 469,234 Node: 16838





## Conclusion

When only taking the weight of the car into consideration the brackets will work without a problem.







## Description

Simulation of wall bracket assembly

# Simulation of Wall attachment bracket assy

Date: fredag 17. mai 2024 Designer: Henrik Bertelsen Study name: Static 1 Analysis type: Static

# Table of Contents



## Assumptions

#### Comments:

Assuming the lower part are attached to the robot car and the upper part are attached to the wall. The forces represent the wall being put in to its attachment (lower bracket), the force is sat to 2.5 Newtons.



## **Model Information**

**Krom**ium

I.

	Model name: Wal Current Co	l attachment bracket assy nfiguration: Default				
Solid Bodies						
Document Name and Reference	Treated As	Volumetric Properties	Document Path/Date Modified			
Female bracket hole camfer	Solid Body	Mass:0,000810232 kg Volume:6,37978e-07 m^3 Density:1 270 kg/m^3 Weight:0,00794028 N	C:\Users\Henrik\OneDrive - USN\Dokumenter - Bachelor gruppe\04 Mekanikk\Deler\3D- models\Individuelle deler\Walls\Wall attachment bracket female.SLDPRT May 10 17:11:56 2024			
Support camfer	Solid Body	Mass:0,00196926 kg Volume:1,55059e-06 m^3 Density:1 270 kg/m^3 Weight:0,0192987 N	C:\Users\Henrik\OneDrive - USN\Dokumenter - Bachelor gruppe\04 Mekanikk\Deler\3D- models\Individuelle deler\Walls\Wall attachment bracket male.SLDPRT May 10 17:11:21 2024			



# Study Properties

**Krom**ium

Study name	Static 1
Analysis type	Static
Mesh type	Solid Mesh
Thermal Effect:	On
Thermal option	Include temperature loads
Zero strain temperature	298 Kelvin
Include fluid pressure effects from SOLIDWORKS Flow Simulation	Off
Solver type	Automatic
Inplane Effect:	Off
Soft Spring:	Off
Inertial Relief:	Off
Incompatible bonding options	Automatic
Large displacement	On
Compute free body forces	On
Friction	Off
Use Adaptive Method:	Off
Result folder	SOLIDWORKS document (C:\Users\Henrik\OneDrive - USN\Dokumenter - Bachelor gruppe\04 Mekanikk\Deler\3D- models\Individuelle deler\Walls)

## Units

Unit system:	SI (MKS)
Length/Displacement	mm
Temperature	Kelvin
Angular velocity	Rad/sec
Pressure/Stress	N/m^2



# **Material Properties**

**Krom**ium

Model Reference	Properties		Components	
	Name: Model type: Default failure criterion: Yield strength: Tensile strength: Elastic modulus: Poisson's ratio: Mass density:	Clas Ohlson PLA Linear Elastic Isotropic Unknown 3,5607e+07 N/m <sup>2</sup> 4,8859e+07 N/m <sup>2</sup> 6,5175e+08 N/m <sup>2</sup> 0,331 1 270 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	SolidBody 1(Female bracket hole camfer)(Wall attachment bracket female- 1), SolidBody 1(Support camfer)(Wall attachment bracket male-1)	
Curve Data:N/A				

## Loads and Fixtures

Fixture name	F	ixture Image		Fixture Details		
Fixed-1		Entities: 2 face(s) Type: Fixed Geometry		e(s) l Geometry		
<b>Resultant Forces</b>	;					
Componer	nts	X	Y	Z	Resultant	
Reaction for	ce(N)	0,219818	0,0310233	-3,31784e-08	0,221996	
Reaction Mome	nt(N.m)	0	0	0	0	
Roller/Slider-1				<b>Entities:</b> 1 fact <b>Type:</b> Rolle	e(s) r/Slider	
<b>Resultant Forces</b>	;					
Componer	nts	X	Y	Z	Resultant	
Reaction for	ce(N)	0,0115366	9,96546	0,000215633	9,96547	
Reaction Mome	nt(N.m)	0	0	0	0	
Roller/Slider-2						
Resultant Forces						
Componer	nts	X	Y	Z	Resultant	
Reaction for	ce(N)	-0,219787	0	0	0,219787	
Reaction Moment(N.m)		0	0	0	0	





Load name	Load Image	Load Details	
Force-1		Entities: Reference: Type: Values:	2 face(s) Edge< 1 > Apply force ;; -5 N

# Connector Definitions

No Data

## Interaction Information

Interaction	Interaction Image	Interaction Properties	
Component Interaction- 1		Type: Components:	Contact (Surface to surface) 2 Solid Body (s)



## Mesh information

**Krom**ium

Mesh type	Solid Mesh
Mesher Used:	Blended curvature-based mesh
Jacobian points for High quality mesh	16 Points
Maximum element size	0,90923 mm
Minimum element size	0,303074 mm
Mesh Quality	High
Remesh failed parts independently	Off

#### **Mesh information - Details**

Total Nodes	38081
Total Elements	23822
Maximum Aspect Ratio	3,8851
% of elements with Aspect Ratio < 3	99,9
Percentage of elements with Aspect Ratio > 10	0
Percentage of distorted elements	0
Time to complete mesh(hh;mm;ss):	00:00:10
Computer name:	

Sensor Details No Data



## **Resultant Forces**

#### **Reaction forces**

Selection set	Units	Sum X	Sum Y	Sum Z	Resultant
Entire Model	Ν	3,02866e-05	10,0001	-3,31784e-08	10,0001

#### **Reaction Moments**

Selection set	Units	Sum X	Sum Y	Sum Z	Resultant
Entire Model	N.m	0	0	0	0

## Free body forces

Selection set	Units	Sum X	Sum Y	Sum Z	Resultant
Entire Model	Ν	0	0	0	0

#### Free body moments

Selection set	Units	Sum X	Sum Y	Sum Z	Resultant
Entire Model	N.m	0	0	0	0

#### Beams

No Data





## **Study Results**



Name	Туре	Min	Max
Displacement1	URES: Resultant Displacement	0,000e+00mm Node: 5	1,067e-01mm Node: 12166







Name	Туре	Min	Max
Strain1	ESTRN: Equivalent Strain	1,368e-07	4,415e-03
		Element: 23035	Element: 1807







Name	Туре	Min	Max
Factor of Safety1	Automatic	7,682e+00 Node: 1	4,464e+05 Node: 11894







## Conclusion

#### Comments:

The brackets will hold the weight of the walls and the clips attaching and detaching.







# Description

Simulation of a part

# Simulation of Arm cam holder fixed angle

Date: fredag 17. mai 2024 Designer: Henrik Bertelsen Study name: Static 1 Analysis type: Static

# Table of Contents

Description 1
Assumptions 2
Model Information2
Study Properties 3
Units 3
Material Properties 4
Loads and Fixtures 5
Mesh information6
Sensor Details 6
Resultant Forces7
Beams
Study Results
Conclusion

## Assumptions

#### Comments:

If the arm camera cable is too loos it can attach itself to the car. The forces added to the simulation is ment to represent the forces of the cable draging in the arm camera bracket.

## Model Information





# Study Properties

**Krom**ium

Study name	Static 1
Analysis type	Static
Mesh type	Solid Mesh
Thermal Effect:	On
Thermal option	Include temperature loads
Zero strain temperature	298 Kelvin
Include fluid pressure effects from SOLIDWORKS Flow Simulation	Off
Solver type	Automatic
Inplane Effect:	Off
Soft Spring:	Off
Inertial Relief:	Off
Incompatible bonding options	Automatic
Large displacement	On
Compute free body forces	On
Friction	Off
Use Adaptive Method:	Off
Result folder	SOLIDWORKS document (C:\Users\Henrik\OneDrive - USN\Dokumenter - Bachelor gruppe\04 Mekanikk\Deler\3D- models\Individuelle deler\Arm camera)

## Units

Unit system:	SI (MKS)
Length/Displacement	mm
Temperature	Kelvin
Angular velocity	Rad/sec
Pressure/Stress	N/m^2



# **Material Properties**

**Krom**ium

Model Reference	Prop	Components	
	Name: Model type: Default failure criterion: Yield strength: Tensile strength: Elastic modulus: Poisson's ratio: Mass density:	Clas Ohlson PLA Linear Elastic Isotropic Unknown 3,5607e+07 N/m^2 4,8859e+07 N/m^2 6,5175e+08 N/m^2 0,331 1 270 kg/m^3	SolidBody 1(Arm screw holes diam.3.1mm)(Arm cam holder fixed angle)
Curve Data:N/A			

## Loads and Fixtures

Fixture name	Fi	ixture Image		Fixture Details			
Fixed-1			Entities: 4 fa Type: Fixe			e(s) Geometry	
<b>Resultant Forces</b>	Resultant Forces						
Componen	its	Х	Y	Z		Resultant	
Reaction for	ce(N)	-4,18797e-05	0,662924	-10		10,022	
Reaction Mome	nt(N.m)	0	0	0		0	
Reference Geometry-1				Entities: Reference: Type: Translation: Units:	1 face Edge Use ro ; mm	e(s) < 1 > eference geometry ; 0	
<b>Resultant Forces</b>							
Componen	its	X	Y	Z		Resultant	
Reaction for	ce(N)	0	-0,81006	0		0,81006	
Reaction Mome	nt(N.m)	0	0	0		0	

Load name	Load Image	Load Details	
Force-1		Entities: 4 edge(s) Reference: Edge< 1 > Type: Apply force Values:;; -2,5 N	

## Mesh information

**Krom**ium

Mesh type	Solid Mesh
Mesher Used:	Blended curvature-based mesh
Jacobian points for High quality mesh	16 Points
Maximum element size	1,43595 mm
Minimum element size	0,380544 mm
Mesh Quality	High

#### **Mesh information - Details**

Total Nodes	34131
Total Elements	19869
Maximum Aspect Ratio	4,6722
% of elements with Aspect Ratio < 3	99,5
Percentage of elements with Aspect Ratio > 10	0
Percentage of distorted elements	0
Time to complete mesh(hh;mm;ss):	00:00:06
Computer name:	

#### Sensor Details No Data



## **Resultant Forces**

#### **Reaction forces**

Selection set	Units	Sum X	Sum Y	Sum Z	Resultant
Entire Model	Ν	-4,18797e-05	-0,000537096	-10	10

#### **Reaction Moments**

Selection set	Units	Sum X	Sum Y	Sum Z	Resultant
Entire Model	N.m	0	0	0	0

### Free body forces

Selection set	Units	Sum X	Sum Y	Sum Z	Resultant
Entire Model	Ν	0	0	0	0

#### Free body moments

Selection set	Units	Sum X	Sum Y	Sum Z	Resultant
Entire Model	N.m	0	0	0	0

#### **Beams**

No Data





# **Study Results**

Name	Туре	Min	Max		
Stress1	VON: von Mises Stress	1,96e-05N/mm^2 (MPa) Node: 20109	23,5N/mm^2 (MPa) Node: 1991		
Model name: Arm cam holder fixed angle Study name: Static 1(-Default-) Plot type: Static nodal stress Stress1 Deformation scale: 1					
		von Mises (N/mm^2 (M	Pa))		
		35,6			
		_ 32			
		_ 28,5			
		24,9			
		21,4			
		Min: 1.96e-05 14.2			
		10,7			
		7,12			
	Max: 23,5	0			
		→ Yield strength: 35,6			
SOLIDWORKS Educational Product. For Instructional Use Only.					
	Arm cam holder fixed angle-Stat	ic 1-Stress-Stress1			
	<b>v</b>				

Name	Туре	Min	Max
Displacement1	URES: Resultant Displacement	0,000e+00mm Node: 13	1,519e+01mm Node: 6







Name	Туре	Min	Max
Strain1	ESTRN: Equivalent Strain	1,842e-08	2,438e-02
		Element: 12522	Element: 7657







Name	Туре	Min	Max
Factor of Safety1	Max von Mises Stress	1,513e+00 Node: 1991	1,818e+06 Node: 20109







KROMIUM

**Krom**ium



## Conclusion

#### Comments:

The bracket will most likely bend and take some of the load off the cable. If the load is greater than asumed, the bracket will most likely break.

